

Pre-training on Large-Scale Heterogeneous Graph

Xunqiang Jiang¹, Tianrui Jia¹, Yuan Fang², Chuan Shi^{1,3*}, Zhe Lin³, Hui Wang³

¹Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications

²Singapore Management University

³Peng Cheng Laboratory, Shenzhen, China



































Graph Neural Network (GNN)









GCN

GraphSAGE

Various Applications of GNN



Recommendation System



Polypharmacy



GNNs need abundant task-specific labeled → Better results

> However, labeled data is usually **expensive** or **infeasible** to obtain

Learning from Unlabeled Data \rightarrow Pre-training

- Unlabeled data (i.e., the whole graph) is abundant
- Recent progresses of pre-training in CV and NLP relieve the reliance on labeled data, and some recent works propose to pre-train GNNs in a self-supervised manner





Existing pre-training methods for GNNs

They are mainly designed for homogeneous graphs



Heterogeneous Graphs

Large heterogeneous graphs with different relations and rich semantics



Two fundamental problems

- 1. How to effectively capture the semantic and structural properties on a heterogeneous graph during pre-training
 - ➢ Structural properties, rich semantics → varying characteristics of different types
 - ➢ Preserve the inherent semantic and structural properties → Node and Network Schema

2. How to efficiently pre-train GNNs on a large-scale heterogeneous graph

➢ Real-word heterogeneous graphs : billions of nodes and edges → Scalability











1







PT-HGNN

- Preserve heterogeneous semantic and structural properties as transferable
- knowledge, and sparsify large-scale heterogeneous graph for efficient pre-training



Figure 1: The overall framework of PT-HGNN.

- Relation-based sparsification
 for efficiency
- Design the node- and schemalevel pre-training tasks



Node-level pre-training task: Negative sample selection



Node-level pre-training task

PT-HGNN

$$node_{\langle u,R,v\rangle} = \{ \langle u,R,v^- \rangle \mid \phi(v) = \phi(v^-), (u,v^-) \notin \mathcal{E}, Sim(v,v^-) \le \delta \}$$

1. Select negative samples from the same relation

<author1, "writes", paper2>

<author1, "writes", institute1> 🏼

(d) Schema-level pre-training task

e-processed heterogeneous grap

via edge sparsification

ous graph and



Node-level pre-training task: Negative sample selection

2. Select negative samples dissimilar enough



a similar sample, a_3 is a negative sample.



Schema-level pre-training task



• Network schema captures both high-order semantics and structural properties



- meta-graph is limited to express high-order structure
- motif is intractable to match when the graph is so large
- schema is the only defining structure that captures both semantic and structural properties





Schema-level pre-training task





Schema-level pre-training task



- Sampling Negative samples from:
 - The current batch with two target nodes of the same type

$$\mathcal{N}_{u}^{1} = \{\mathcal{P}_{u^{-}}^{sche} \mid u^{-} \in \mathcal{V}_{B}, u \neq u^{-}, \phi(u) = \phi(u^{-})\}$$

Previous batch with two target nodes of the same type

$$\mathcal{N}_u^2 = \{ \mathcal{P}_v^{sche} \mid \phi(u) = \phi(v), v \in \mathcal{V}_B^{t-1} \},\$$



Edge Sparsification



Why edge Sparsification:

- Preserve more **meaningful** edges (lower noise in graphs)
- Improve the time efficiency on large graph

Method : Relation based Personalized PageRank Acceleration :

Random-Walk Formulation (Forward Search) + Top-K Entries



Edge Sparsification











Experiments







Baselines

- ContextPred No-Pretrain

Datasets

Open Academic Graph (OAG) unifies two academic graphs: Microsoft Academic Graph and Aminer

Statistics of Datasets

Dataset	#nodes	#edges	#venues	#papers	#fields	#authors	#institutes	#P-V	#P-P	#P-F	#P-A	#A-I
CS	11,918,983	107,263,811	27,433	5,597,605	289,930	5,985,759	18,256	5,597,606	31,441,552	47,462,559	15,571,614	7,190,480
Mater	4,552,941	42,161,581	15,141	2,442,235	79,305	2,005,362	10,898	2,442,235	13,011,272	19,119,947	5,582,765	2,005,362
Engin	5,191,920	36,146,719	19,867	3,239,504	99,444	1,819,100	14,005	3,239,504	4,848,158	22,498,822	3,741,135	1,819,100
Chem	12,158,967	159,537,437	19,142	7,193,321	183,782	4,748,812	13,910	7,193,321	74,018,600	57,162,528	16,414,176	4,748,812
OAG	178,663,987	2,236,196,802	53,073	89,606,257	615,288	88,364,081	25,288	89,606,258	1,021,237,518	657,049,405	300,853,688	167,449,933

#nodes: 178 million; #edges: 2 billion

Experiment results on Node classification and Link Prediction

Whole graph: OAG

Experiments

Domain specific subgraphs: Computer science, material, chemistry, engineering

Dataset	Downstream	n Task	No pre-train	EdgePred	DGI	ContextPred	GraphCL	GPT-GNN	PT-HGNN	Improv.
	Paper–Field	NDCG MRR	27.42±0.42 23.17±0.45	31.37±0.32 32.13±0.52	32.82 ± 0.67 33.43 ± 0.81	33.15±0.71 33.24±0.57	32.64±0.65 33.24±0.67	$\frac{35.24 \pm 0.47}{33.57 \pm 0.71}$	36.04 ±0.37 37.76 ±0.42	2.27% 12.48%
CS	Paper–Venue	NDCG MRR	27.76±0.56 11.39±0.37	35.77±0.59 16.34±0.47	34.23 ± 0.71 16.21 ± 0.62	34.30±0.92 17.66±0.81	32.11±0.69 16.29±0.49	$\frac{36.15 \pm 0.53}{19.13 \pm 0.65}$	38.81 ±0.51 21.19 ±0.45	7.35% 10.76%
	Author ND	NDCG MRR	76.27±0.53 54.82±0.49	79.41±0.68 59.06±0.74	81.38±0.93 58.98±0.79	79.22±0.72 60.23±0.83	79.95±0.89 60.55±0.74	$\frac{80.20 \pm 0.51}{60.94 \pm 0.52}$	82.19 ±0.60 63.38 ±0.38	2.48% % 4.00% %
OAG	Paper-Ven	ue NDC MRI								.68 6.56% .57 6.19%
	Author NI	D NDC MRF								

On average 4.98% improvement: our proposed pre-training strategy is capable of exploiting transferable information and graph properties on heterogeneous graphs





Experiment results on Node classification and Link Prediction

Evaluate the effect of node- and schema-level pre-training tasks on heterogeneous graphs

Downstream	n Task	No pre-train	PT-HGNN _{node}	PT-HGNN _{sche}	PT-HGNN
Daman Field	NDCG	27.42	35.80	35.16	36.04
Paper–Field	MRR	23.17	36.82	36.21	37.76
Papar Vanua	NDCG	27.76	36.23	35.24	38.81
Paper–Venue	MRR	11.39	20.42	18.92	21.19
Author ND	NDCG	76.27	80.41	81.25	82.19
	MRR	54.82	60.57	62.02	63.38

- Node-level : PT-HGNN_{node}
- Schema-level : PT-HGNN_{sche}
- Combination : PT-HGNN
- In link prediction, $PT-HGNN_{node}$ model the pairwise interaction, which performs better
- In node classification, $PT-HGNN_{sche}$ obtain better performance by focusing on modeling the structure context
- The combination offers strong capability in both downstream tasks





Freezing vs. Full Fine-tuning

			·``		
Downstream	n Task	No pre-train	PT-HGNN (FE)	PT-HGNN	
Dapar Field	NDCG	27.42	32.81	36.04	
Paper–Field	MRR	23.17	32.50	37.76	
Paper-Venue	NDCG	27.76	35.93	38.81	
r aper-venue	MRR	11.39	18.45	21.19	
Author ND	NDCG	76.27	81.41	82.19	
Aution ND	MRR	54.82	62.15	63.38	
			·/		

- PT-HGNN(FE) achieves better performance than the no pre-train model, which are able to capture the transferable knowledge.
- the performance of PT-HGNN in freezing mode
 exhibits competitive performance to that of the full
 fine-tuning mode in some cases





Transfer Experiment



- Knowledge transferring from pre-training to fine-tuning does not guarantee a gain in performance
- Positive correlation value between graphs results in positive transferring and vice versa



(a) Correlation metric computed with a series of graph (b) The citation coefficient: the percentage of publica-(c) MRR gain (%) of the proposed method over the properties. The percentage of publica-(c) MRR gain (%) of the proposed method over the method with no pre-training method





Time Efficiency

Downst	ream Task	No PPR	PPR	Improv.
Paper-Field	NDCG	36.54	36.04	-1.38%
raper-rielu	MRR	38.12	37.76	-0.95%
Donor Vonuo	NDCG	37.82	38.81	2.62%
Paper–Venue	MRR	20.42	21.19	3.77%
Author ND	NDCG	80.87	82.19	1.63%
Author ND	MRR	60.09	63.38	5.48%
Time Efficiency	Time Per Batch(s)	64.2	37.9	41.97%

 With the edges sparsification based on personalized PageRank, the training efficiency is increased
 Pre-training on the pre-processed heterogeneous graph achieve the competitive performance









NTENTS









Conclusion

- PT-HGNN, which is a pre-training framework, enables the GNN to capture heterogeneous semantics and structural properties
- Edge sparsification strategy retains meaningful graph structures while accelerating the pre-training procedure
- > Extensive experiments on one of the largest heterogeneous graphs



Thank you!

Xunqiang Jiang, BUPT, skd621@bupt.edu.cn

More Information:

http://www.shichuan.org/

http://www.yfang.site