# Heterogeneous Embedding Propagation for Large-scale E-Commerce User Alignment

#### Vincent W. Zheng, Mo Sha, Yuchen Li, Hongxia Yang, Yuan Fang, Zhenjie Zhang, Kian-Lee Tan, Kevin Chen-Chuan Chang

ICDM 2018 @ Singapore











#### Data and Problem

- Overall Framework
- Proposed Model
- Experiments
- Conclusion

# Data and Problem

#### Data: User Activity Log

Time	User	IP	Keywords	Auction	Shop
04/05/2017 16:21	PID1	IP2	toys	-	Shop3
04/05/2017 22:12	MID3	IP2	lego	Auction1	Shop2
•••				•••	•••

#### **Problem: User ID Linking**

To determine if PID1 (a PC identifier) is the same user as MID3 (a mobile device identifier).

# Modeling Data as Heterogeneous Interaction Graph



## **Technical challenges: Heterogeneity**

#### Node heterogeneity

- Different types of nodes with various semantics
  - Users
  - IPs
  - Keywords
  - Auctions
  - Shops

#### Edge features

- Time-based historical access patterns
  - How frequent in past 24 hour?
  - How frequent on Sundays?
  - How frequent in the evenings?

- Data and Problem
- Overall Framework
- Proposed Model
- Experiments
- Conclusion

#### **Overall Framework:**

# Heterogeneous Embedding Propagation (HEP)



Classification loss + Reconstruction loss

- Data and Problem
- Overall Framework
- Proposed Model
- Experiments
- Conclusion

#### **Proposed Model: Classification Loss**

<u>Semi-supervised learning:</u> some PID-MID pairs  $(v_i, u_i)$  are known to be positive or negative  $(y_i)$ .

$$P(y_i|v_i, u_i) = \sigma \left( y_i \cdot \mathbf{h}_{v_i}^T W \mathbf{h}_{u_i} \right)$$

$$L_1 = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(y_i | v_i, u_i)$$

**h** is node embedding*W* is weight matrix

#### **Proposed Model: Reconstruction Loss**

#### **Reconstruction loss**

Node embeddings are reconstructed from neighbors, aggregated by node type (c).

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{v}^{(c)} = \sum_{u \in N_{v}^{(c)}} \frac{s_{v,u}}{\sum_{u \in N_{v}^{(c)}} s_{v,u}} \mathbf{h}_{u}$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{v} = \text{CONCAT} \left( \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{v}^{(c_{1})}, ..., \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{v}^{(c_{n_{1}})} \right)$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{v} = \sigma \left( W_{\phi(v)}' \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{v} + \mathbf{b}_{\phi(v)}'' \right)$$

 $s_{v,u}$  is learnable edge weight (based on edge feature)  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$  is reconstructed node embedding W' and  $\mathbf{b}''$  is type specific weight/bias

# Proposed Model: Reconstruction Loss

#### 11

#### Reconstruction loss

Reconstructed embedding  $(\mathbf{\hat{h}})$  should be close to the target embedding  $(\mathbf{\hat{h}})$ .

$$\ell(v, u) = \left[\gamma + \pi(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_v, \mathbf{h}_v) - \pi(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_v, \mathbf{h}_u)\right]_+$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{u \sim P_n(u)} \ell(v, u)$$

 $\gamma$  is margin (hyperparameter)  $\pi$  is distance function between embedding  $P_n$  is negative sampling distribution

- Data and Problem
- Overall Framework
- Proposed Model
- **Experiments**
- Conclusion

#### **Experiments: Datasets**

#### Taobao's one-week user activity log in a city

- □ TB-Top: top 10% active users
- □ TB-Top: random 10% users

	#record	#PID	#MID	#IP	#shop	#auction	#keyword	#pos	#neg
TB-Top	73,394K	204K	53K	277K	1,125K	3,718K	1,317K	147K	10,611K
TB-Rnd	31,202K	99K	46K	167K	495K	1,082K	437K	57K	2,363K

# **Experiments: Baselines**

# Validating data model (as a graph)

- FEM: feature engineering
- LDA: latent Dirichlet allocation
- GRU: gated recurrent unit
- Validating technical model (HEP)
  - Metapath2vec: meta-path based embedding
  - EP: embedding propagation
  - HEP-: HEP without edge features

#### **Experiments: Results**

15

	Т	'B-Top		TB-Rnd			
	Precision	Recall	F1	Precision	Recall	F1	
FEM	60.3	3.4	6.4	68.7	1.9	3.7	
LDA	70.4	10.6	18.5	68.3	6.1	11.3	
GRU	51.8	26.2	34.8	52.6	22.1	31.2	
Metapath2vec	1.7	62.9	3.4	2.3	58.7	4.4	
EP	34.3	6.7	11.2	35.0	6.1	10.4	
HEP-	32.9	31.3	32.1	34.7	25.0	29.0	
HEP	36.5	39.2	37.8	44.5	40.5	42.4	

Non-graph models (FEM, LDA, GRU): high precision but very low recall

HEP: good balance and highest F1

- Data and Problem
- Overall Framework
- Proposed Model
- Experiments
- □ Conclusion

### Conclusion

#### Heterogeneous interaction graph

- Able to capture interactions between items
- Able to mitigate the sparsity issue
- Heterogeneity challenge
  - Node types
  - Edge features
- Heterogeneous embedding propagation
  - Classification loss
  - Reconstruction loss